



LATVIJAS
UNIVERSITĀTE
ANNO 1919

Specseminārs

Kiberfizikālās sistēmas, tai skaitā sensori, iegultas iekārtas, to programmēšana un robotika

18.12.2014

Artis Mednis
Leo Seļāvo

Administratīvās lietas

- Pašnovērtējuma ziņojums
 - termiņš: 31.12.2014 23:59:59 😊
 - veids: uz **abu** pasniedzēju e-pastiem
 - formāts: <http://bit.ly/1v2VtK1>
- Projektu prezentācijas
 - laiks: 13.01.2015 09:30
 - vieta: R19 – 312
 - veids: demo/plakāts/stāstījums/...



Praktiskais darbs

kā parasti - *pitching event* stilā 😊


- **Atskaite** par pēdējo nedēļu laikā notikušo savā projektā
- Kas no iecerētā pa šo laiku ir **izdarīts**?
 - kārtējie secinājumi
- Kas no iecerētā vēl būs **jāpaveic**?
 - kāda ir aktuālā risināmā problēma?
- 1 (vai vairāk) **jautājums** *par tēmu* iepriekšējam projektam

Compute Unified Device Architecture (CUDA)

- **Parallel computing platform / programming model (NVIDIA)**
- Implemented by the **graphics processing units (GPU)**
- Access ways
 - CUDA-accelerated **libraries**
 - compiler **directives**
 - **extensions** to industry-standard programming languages




<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IzU4AVcMFys>




Processing flow
on CUDA

MATLAB (matrix laboratory)

- Numerical **computing environment** / fourth-generation **programming language**



- matrix **manipulations**
- **plotting** of functions and data
- implementation of **algorithms**
- creation of **user interfaces**
- **interfacing** with programs written in other languages



<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hdvLbBDfgK4>


MATLAB – izmantošanas piemērs



GNU Octave



- High-level **programming language**
- Intended for **numerical computations**
- Mostly **compatible** with MATLAB
- **Free** under the terms of the GNU General Public License



<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d1If8XOL73c>



ELEKTRONIKAS UN DATORZINĀTŅU INSTITŪTS
INSTITUTE OF ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE



LATVIJAS
UNIVERSITĀTE
ANNO 1919

Real Time Pothole Detection using Android Smartphones with Accelerometers



Artis Mednis¹², Girts Strazdins¹², Reinholds Zviedris¹²,
Georgijs Kanonirs¹, and Leo Selavo¹²

¹ Institute of Electronics and Computer Science,
14 Dzerbenes Str, Riga, LV 1006, Latvia

² Faculty of Computing, University of Latvia,
19 Raina Blvd., Riga, LV 1586, Latvia
{firstname.lastname}@edi.lv

Research domain

- Road infrastructure as blood vessels



- Participatory sensing for data collection
- Manual vs. automatic reporting
- General purpose vs. customized embedded devices

Existing solutions

- Motes with accelerometers but only for data collection (BusNet)
- Embedded computers with external accelerometers for real time data processing (Pothole Patrol)
- Smartphones with external accelerometers for real time data processing but using very simple algorithms (Nericell, TrafficSense)
- Smartphones with built-in accelerometers in client-server solution with partial server side data processing (system developed at National Taiwan University)
- Offline data mining using complicated data processing algorithms (approach developed at University of Jyväskylä)

Technical requirements

- Detection of the potholes in **real time** during driving in different four-wheel vehicle types
- Different **Android OS** based smartphones with accelerometer sensors as hardware/software platform
- Enough resources for **native communication tasks** at an adequate quality level
- Calibration or self-calibration functionality for adaptation to **different vehicles**



Our approach

- Marking of *ground truth* using *Walking GPS* approach
- Test drive session (10 laps) with 4 different smartphones
- Processing of collected data using selected algorithms
- Statistical analysis in context of marked *ground truth* and previous developed RoadMic methodology
- Used terminology
 - true positives - ≥ 4 events during 10 laps within $\leq 15m$ radius
 - true hits – events detected within $\leq 15m$ to nearest *ground truth* item




| Class | 24.03.2011 | 19.03.2010 |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| Large potholes | 3 | 3 |
| Small potholes | 18 | 18 |
| Pothole clusters | 30 | 30 |
| Gaps | 40 | 25 |
| Drain pits | 17 | 29 |
| Total | 108 | 105 |

4.4km long test track with marked and classified *ground truth*

Algorithms I


- **Z-THRESH**

- thresholding the acceleration amplitude at Z-axis
- events represented by values exceeding specific thresholds
- information about Z-axis position of accelerometer is known



- **Z-DIFF**


- fast changes in vertical acceleration data
- events represented by two consecutive measurements with difference between the values above specific threshold level



Algorithms II


- **STDEV(Z)**

- standard deviation of vertical axis acceleration
- previously used for data post processing
- the window sizes and specific threshold levels had to be determined



- **G-ZERO**

- events characterized by specific measurement tuple
- vehicle in a temporary free fall
- data could be analyzed without information about exact Z-axis position of the accelerometer



Evaluation I



- Z-THRESH**

- optimal value 0.4g
- 78% true positives
- 76% true hits

- Z-DIFF**

- optimal value 0.2g
- 92% true positives
- 77% true hits

| Class | Z-THRESH | Z-DIFF |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Large potholes | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) |
| Small potholes | 15 (83%) | 16 (89%) |
| Pothole clusters | 25 (83%) | 27 (90%) |
| Gaps | 31 (78%) | 36 (90%) |
| Drain pits | 10 (59%) | 17 (100%) |
| Total | 84 (78%) | 99 (92%) |



Evaluation II



- **STDEV(Z)**

- optimal value 0.2g
- optimal window size 20
- 81% true positives
- 76% true hits

- **G-ZERO**

- optimal value 0.8g
- 73% true positives
- 76% true hits

| Class | STDEV(Z) | G-ZERO |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Large potholes | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) |
| Small potholes | 16 (89%) | 14 (78%) |
| Pothole clusters | 27 (90%) | 27 (90%) |
| Gaps | 30 (75%) | 27 (68%) |
| Drain pits | 11 (65%) | 8 (47%) |
| Total | 87 (81%) | 79 (73%) |



Conclusions

- Different algorithms - different true positive values for several ground truth item classes – it could be useful during combination of algorithms
- Potholes in street junctions with low driving speed escapes from such detection approach
- Accelerometers used in the smartphones are appropriate sensors – 50% of all small potholes were detected during all 10 test drive laps
- Some pictures from our field experiments:



Marking of *ground truth*



Android smartphones



RoadMic equipment